

Internet mini-cores

Local communications in the Internet's "spur" regions

Steve Gibbard

Packet Clearing House

Current Internet Structure

- ⑦ Well connected core
- ⑦ Less well connected spurs
- ⑦ In the core, connectivity is good:
 - ⑦ Lots of fiber
 - ⑦ Lots of redundancy
 - ⑦ Lots of cheap bandwidth
 - ⑦ The ability to send large amounts of data quickly between urban areas of the “developed world” can now be taken for granted.

Current Internet structure (cont.)

- ⑦ In the rest of the world:
 - ⑦ Many ISPs in a region are likely to have connectivity to the core, but generally few connect to each other.
 - ⑦ Connections to the core can go a very long way, sometimes via satellite.
 - ⑦ Even “local” connectivity uses these connections.
 - ⑦ Long distance connectivity is expensive and unreliable.
 - ⑦ In these “spur” regions, the Internet often doesn’t work very well.

Packet world tour...

```
1 <10 ms 10 ms <10 ms gw.conference.sanog.org [169.223.0.1] -- Kathmandu
2 270 ms 160 ms 100 ms gw-pck-sp.wlink.com.np [202.79.55.9]
3 40 ms 50 ms 40 ms gw.wlink.com.np [202.79.40.1]
4 231 ms 80 ms 110 ms mahesh.wlink.com.np [202.79.32.60]
5 892 ms 1131 ms * 216.236.105.33
6 1643 ms 1221 ms 1533 ms 69.88.1.189 -- Honolulu
7 3105 ms 1442 ms 1962 ms 216.236.111.25
8 1041 ms 912 ms 1432 ms hnl-edge-01.inet.qwest.net [67.129.94.1]
9 1222 ms 1322 ms 1131 ms bur-core-01.inet.qwest.net [205.171.13.169] -- Los Angeles
10 1062 ms 1031 ms 1022 ms lap-brdr-01.inet.qwest.net [205.171.213.46]
11 1432 ms * 2563 ms 203.208.168.185
12 1743 ms 1552 ms 921 ms 203.208.168.221
13 2784 ms 851 ms 1102 ms 203.208.182.133
14 1542 ms 1672 ms 1643 ms 203.208.172.138 – Singapore?
15 1572 ms 1222 ms 1342 ms 203.208.145.38
16 1251 ms 1122 ms 1432 ms 203.208.140.133
17 1432 ms 1542 ms * 203.208.140.133
18 * * * Request timed out.
19 1713 ms 1602 ms * 202.52.242.65 -- Nepal
20 1683 ms 1742 ms 1533 ms 202.52.242.65
```

Trace complete.

Example traceroute with local peering

```
1 gw.sfo.gibbard.org (216.93.185.185) 0.214 ms 0.161 ms 0.173 ms
2 border-core2-ge6-0.sfo2.servepath.net (69.59.136.17) 0.659 ms 0.218 ms
0.639 ms
3 paix.pch.net (198.32.176.249) 2.941 ms 3.808 ms 2.408 ms
4 host.paix.pch.net (206.220.231.245) 2.521 ms * 2.468 ms
```

Different from traditional phone networks

- ⑦ Traditional phone networks:
 - ⑦ Big cost advantage to making local calls.
 - ⑦ True even as definitions of local have shifted.
 - ⑦ Local phone calls tend to be pretty reliable; international calls are often a different story.
 - ⑦ Few people notice when international phone networks break.
- ⑦ The Internet:
 - ⑦ “Distance is dead:” Local and long distance communications cost the same.
 - ⑦ This is widely touted as a feature, and sometimes is.
 - ⑦ Local communication becomes less reliable, more expensive, and slower than long distance communication.

Examples

⑦ Costs:

- ⑦ Urban US: Lots of traffic is local. Cost is around \$100 per Mb/s.
- ⑦ Northwest Montana (rural US): Not much local traffic. Transit cost is \$1,000 per Mb/s.
- ⑦ Kathmandu, Nepal:
 - ⑦ International transit: \$5,000 per Mb/s.
 - ⑦ For ISPs that peer, local traffic is \$50 per Mb/s.
- ⑦ Perth, Western Australia:
 - ⑦ Transit: \$500 per Mb/s
 - ⑦ Local traffic via peering: <\$10 per Mb/s.

⑦ Reliability:

- ⑦ Sri Lanka:
 - ⑦ Fiber cut in harbor.
 - ⑦ Outage of “Internet and international phone service.”

Proposed new model

- ⑦ Nothing wrong with the current core, for the parts of the world it covers.
- ⑦ The rest of the world shouldn't have to send everything through it.
- ⑦ A better model would be to have lots of "regional cores."
- ⑦ Long distance circuits should be reserved for long distance traffic.
- ⑦ Data sent between neighbors should not go to other continents.
- ⑦ If it's going to replace the traditional phone network, local Internet connectivity needs to be as reliable.

How to get there:

- ⑦ Keep local traffic local:
 - ⑦ Local exchange point.
 - ⑦ All ISPs should have access to local peering.
 - ⑦ This connectivity does not need to be direct. Buying transit from somebody who peers locally is sometimes sufficient.
 - ⑦ Scales well. No hard limit on participants in the market.
 - ⑦ Monopoly transit provider
 - ⑦ Keeps traffic local – until somebody decides to compete with it.
 - ⑦ Doesn't have much incentive to improve service, or lower costs.

Exchange points aren't enough

- ⑦ Keeping local traffic local doesn't help, if what you need to talk to isn't local.
 - ⑦ Connectivity at layer 3 doesn't help if you're cut off from DNS.
 - ⑦ Even with local DNS, Hotmail (or whatever) may not be local.
 - ⑦ To be self-sufficient, a region needs its own "critical services."

What is a critical service?

- ⑦ DNS
 - ⑦ Root.
 - ⑦ Local ccTLD.
 - ⑦ Any other zones in local use.
 - ⑦ Use of domains without local DNS should be avoided.
- ⑦ E-mail:
 - ⑦ Local ISP's mail server is presumably safe.
 - ⑦ Local Equivalent of Yahoo or Hotmail?
- ⑦ VOIP
 - ⑦ SIP server.
 - ⑦ VOIP to PSTN gateways.
- ⑦ What else?
 - ⑦ Is Google a critical service?
 - ⑦ What about Windows Update?
 - ⑦ Something for content providers to think about.

Progress

- ⑦ Exchange points being built in lots of places.
- ⑦ Local TLD operators are hosting in their own regions.
 - ⑦ With a local exchange point, this helps. Without one, it doesn't do much.
- ⑦ Root servers are becoming more distributed.
- ⑦ Local content providers are starting to host content locally in some places.

More needs to be done

- ⑦ Many regions still don't have local exchange points.
 - ⑦ Without an exchange, other locally hosted services are of little value.
- ⑦ Johannesburg and Jakarta are the only developing areas with root DNS servers (according to www.root-servers.org).
- ⑦ .com/.net footprint is still very small, as are many other gTLDs.

Documentation required

- ⑦ Internet users aren't conditioned to think of locations of services.
- ⑦ "The local service is faster than the far away service" is easy to understand.
- ⑦ Services for which location doesn't noticeably affect performance are the real reliability "gotchas." ISPs can help with this.

Caveats

- ⑦ This shouldn't be seen as an attack on long-distance communication.
- ⑦ The ability to communicate easily over long distances is a very good thing.
- ⑦ It just shouldn't have to be depended on for local communications.

Thanks!

⑦ Contact info:

⑦ Steve Gibbard

⑦ Packet Clearing House

⑦ scg@pch.net

⑦ <http://www.pch.net>